

Report author: Kate Sadler

Tel: 0113 39 51711

Report of City Solicitor

Report to Full Council

Date: 21st May 2015

Subject: Recommendations of General Purposes Committee

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s):		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number:		
Appendix number:		

1 Purpose of this report

1.1 This report presents recommendations to full Council from General Purposes Committee.

2 Background information

- 2.1 General Purposes Committee is authorised:
 - to consider proposals to amend the constitution and make recommendations to full Council; and
 - to make recommendations to full Council in connection with the discharge of any of its functions.
- 2.2 At its meeting on 12th May 2015, General Purposes Committee considered items relating to:
 - City Priority Plans
 - Internal Audit Arrangements
 - Realignment of Scrutiny Boards

2.3 This report sets out the recommendations from General Purposes Committee, relating to those items.

Background to City Priority Plans

2.4 The City Priority Plan was originally drawn up for the period 2011-15 as a city-wide partnership plan, bringing together a number of priorities to help deliver the longer term Vision for Leeds 2011-30. It identified the key outcomes and priorities to be delivered by the Council and its partners over those four years and informed a subset of five individual City Priority Plans for the five strategic partnership boards: the Children's Trust Board; Sustainable Economy and Culture Board; Safer and Stronger Communities Board; Health & Wellbeing Board; and Housing & Regeneration Board. The Council's contribution to the city-wide priorities was set out in the Council Business Plan.

Internal Audit Arrangements

2.5 The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS), which superseded the 2006 CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK, came into force on 1st April 2013.

Realignment of Scrutiny Boards

2.6 In May 2012 Council agreed to amend the Boards terms of reference to facilitate scrutiny of key partnerships in which the Council was involved. Consequently Scrutiny Boards were aligned to the then existing partnerships. Over the past two years these partnerships have either ceased to exist in their original form or have been disbanded completely.

3 Main issues

City Priority Plans

- 3.1 Since 2011, the Council Business Plan has evolved and is now termed the 'Best Council Plan'. On 18th March 2015, the Executive Board approved a refreshed Best Council Plan, updated for 2015/16. This Plan sets out the Council's objectives for the next twelve months and the direction and narrative for the five years up to 2020.
- 3.2 The themes of strong partnership working, tackling inequalities, improving outcomes for all our citizens and ultimately helping Leeds to become the best city in the UK the Vision for Leeds run throughout the Best Council Plan. There is therefore no longer a requirement for a separate 'City Priority Plan' document. GPC recommends that the reference to the City Priority Plan should be removed from within the Council's Policy Framework, and replaced where appropriate with reference to the Best Council Plan.
- 3.3 GPC recommend amendments to Articles 1 and 4 as shown at Appendix A and B attached.

Realignment of Scrutiny Boards

- 3.4 Each year, the Scrutiny Officer conducts a review of scrutiny arrangements to ensure that they are fit for purpose. This year, the focus of the review has been to consider the Board's terms of reference.
- In the light of changes to the Council's key partnerships the Scrutiny Officer proposes that the terms of reference for Scrutiny Boards be drafted to mirror the executive Functions of the Council's directorates. This would maintain the same number of Scrutiny Boards and provide greater clarity over the respective remit of each Scrutiny Board.
- 3.6 A revision to the terms of reference as described above would also result in amendments to Scrutiny Board Procedure Rule 10.3 with the removal of the requirement that Scrutiny Boards should consider and report each municipal year on the activities of the Partnership Boards.
- 3.7 GPC recommend amendments to the terms of reference and to the Scrutiny Board Procedure Rules as set out in the attached Appendices C I.
- 3.8 Article six of the Constitution describes the functions of the Council's Scrutiny Boards. The Council has adopted a Vision for Scrutiny, which is attached as an Annex to Article six. In addition to the amendments consequential on the realignment of the Scrutiny boards GPC recommends that the Vision be updated to reflect the growing need to manage the resources available to Scrutiny more closely and the importance of ensuring added value in the work undertaken by Scrutiny.
- 3.9 GPC recommend amendments to Article 6 (Scrutiny Boards) as set out in Appendix J.
- 3.10 <u>Internal Audit Arrangements</u>
- 3.11 The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 state that 'A relevant authority must undertake an effective internal audit to evaluate the effectiveness of its risk management, control and governance processes, taking into account public sector internal auditing standards or guidance'.
- 3.12 The PSIAS require the Corporate Governance and Audit Committee to review and approve:
 - The internal audit charter;
 - The risk-based audit plan;
 - Any additional significant work not already included in the audit plan. The Internal Audit Charter defines significant as the equivalent of 5% of the annual planned audit days and in 2015/16 this would be the equivalent of 210 days.

- 3.13 The Deputy Chief Executive, as the council's Section 151 Officer, is responsible under the Local Government Act for ensuring that there are arrangements in place for the proper administration of the Authority's financial affairs. Part of the function of Internal Audit is to provide assurance on these arrangements so it is necessary to consider the level and extent of internal audit coverage of the council's activities by developing a risk based audit plan. The audit plan is prepared by the Head of Audit and agreed by the Deputy Chief Executive in his role as the council's Section 151 Officer before being submitted to CGAC for review and approval. The Head of Audit should then report on performance against the plan at a frequency to be determined by the committee.
- 3.14 Finally the Head of Audit is required to report to CGAC on an annual basis:
 - That the internal audit activity is organisationally independent; and
 - The results of the Quality Assurance and Improvement Programme together with any progress against improvement plans.
- 3.15 GPC recommend that amendments are made to the Corporate Governance and Audit Committee Terms of Reference as set out at Appendix K attached in order to give effect to these requirements.
- 4 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.4 Consultation arrangements in relation to each proposal were detailed in the report to GPC who propose the amendments set out in this report.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 No significant implications arise from the proposed amendments.

4.3 Council policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 All amendments are in line with the Council's Code of Corporate Governance, particularly with regard to the principles of focussing on the Council's purpose and community needs; having clear responsibilities and arrangements for accountability; and taking informed and transparent decisions which are subject to effective scrutiny and risk management.

4.4 Resources and value for money

4.4.1 Resource and value for money implications are outlined as appropriate within the main body of this report.

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 The amendments proposed are in line with legislation and bring the constitution up to date where relevant legislation has been introduced or updated.

4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 There are no implications for this report.

5 Conclusions

A number of amendments are necessary to ensure that the Council's constitution is up to date and fit for purpose.

6 Recommendations

- 6.1 General Purposes Committee recommend that full Council approve amendments to the documents listed below as shown on the relevant appendix to this report:-
 - Article 1 (Appendix A)
 - Article 4 (Appendix B)
 - Article 6 (Appendix J)
 - Scrutiny Board Terms of Reference (Appendices C-H)
 - Corporate Governance and Audit Committee Terms of Reference (Appendix K)
 - Scrutiny Board Procedure Rules (Appendix I)

7 Background documents¹

7.1 None

_

¹ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.